

To: Moss, Kenneth[Moss.Kenneth@epa.gov]; Canavan, Sheila[Canavan.Sheila@epa.gov]; Doa, Maria[Doa.Maria@epa.gov]
Cc: Scarano, Louis[Scarano.Louis@epa.gov]
From: Henry, Tala
Sent: Fri 1/31/2014 9:58:15 PM
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

I don't know what you are talking about...we have been involved in a zillion things on these multiple chemicals

Tala R. Henry, Ph.D.
Director, Risk Assessment Division
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

T: 202-564-2959
E: henry.tala@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Moss, Kenneth
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 3:44 PM
To: Canavan, Sheila; Doa, Maria
Cc: Henry, Tala; Scarano, Louis
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Tala/Gino - did we ever formalize what you guys had assembled in that email chain the other week?

Kenneth Moss
USEPA
Team Leader, New Chemicals Program
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (7405M) WJC EPA East Building, room 4133C
1201 Constitution Ave., NW
Phone: 202-564-9232, Fax: 202-564-9490

-----Original Message-----

From: Canavan, Sheila
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 3:41 PM
To: Moss, Kenneth; Doa, Maria
Subject: Fw: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

From: Gillis, Chris
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 2:41:04 PM
To: Cunningham-HQ, Barbara; Canavan, Sheila; Wallace, Ryan
Subject: FW: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

See ORD/OW response below re: MCHM.

-----Original Message-----

From: Blackburn, Elizabeth
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 2:35 PM

To: Jones, Enesta; Gillis, Chris
Cc: Behringer, Caroline; Sauerhage, Maggie; Loop, Travis; Senn, John
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

There was a similar question last week about MCHM. I've pasted the question/response below. We will check about PPH.

The question was -

Has EPA reviewed the study or studies that were the basis for the LD50 for this material?

ORD/OW response -

While EPA has not provided a formal peer review of any study that was the basis for the LD-50, EPA scientists did provide comments on a draft analysis of the value for MCHM.

Liz Blackburn
Science Communications Director
USEPA Office of Research and Development blackburn.elizabeth@epa.gov
202-564-2192
mobile 202-436-2453

-----Original Message-----

From: Jones, Enesta
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 2:30 PM
To: Blackburn, Elizabeth; Gillis, Chris
Cc: Behringer, Caroline; Jones, Enesta
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Elizabeth, Chris?
Anything?

This is what I received from the other offices:

OEI: Neither MCHM nor PPH are required to be reported under TRI.
OSWER: Neither MCHM nor PPH are on the list of RMP-regulated substances.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jones, Enesta
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 12:20 PM
To: Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda
Cc: Behringer, Caroline; Jones, Enesta; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla
Subject: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Elizabeth and Linda,

Can you let us know whether this is more fitting for ORD, OCSP or both to address? Her ddl is this afternoon. Thanks.

Have crude-MCHM or PPH been the subject of an EPA review?

ÿ